

United States Patent and Trademark Office

cer

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/525,576	02/23/2005	Ludovic Peter Willem Huysmans	NL 020776	4876
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 06/27/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	•	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 300	1		QUARTERM	AN, KEVIN J
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2879	,
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summer	10/525,576	HUYSMANS ET AL.	HUYSMANS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Kevin Quarterman	2879		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a root will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Peply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133)	·	
Status				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matte		ts is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdom 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on 23 February 2005 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the 	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ one drawing(s) be held in abeyan the drawing(s) be held in abeyan the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ints have been received. Ints have been received in Apriority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	ļ.	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 0205.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) //Mail Date formal Patent Application 	,	

Application/Control Number: 10/525,576

Art Unit: 2879

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Regarding independent claim 1, the term "thin-walled" in claim 1 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "thin-walled" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Thus, the recitation of the frame section including two flange sections of a "thin-walled material" renders the claim indefinite by use of the term "thin-walled" in the claim. Due to their dependency upon independent claim 1, claims 2-9 are also deemed indefinite.
- 4. Claim 6 recites a rib being positioned in an area between the slit and "an inner edge." The Examiner notes that it is unclear what *inner edge* applicant is referring to—i.e., inner edge of what?

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/525,576

Art Unit: 2879

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Page 3

- 6. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tanaka (JP 2002-100300).
- 7. Regarding independent claim 1, Figure 5 of Tanaka shows a frame section (51) adapted to form part of a shadow mask frame within a cathode ray tube (Fig. 10) having a hollow, generally rectangular shape and on which a shadow mask (Fig. 12) is mountable, the frame section including two flange portions of a thin-walled material arranged at an angle to each other, a first flange portion serving as a mask mounting flange the width of which extends generally in parallel with the direction of the cathode rays and on which the shadow mask is mountable, and a second flange portion serving as a reinforcing flange whose width extends generally perpendicularly to the direction of the cathode rays, characterized in that the reinforcing flange portion comprises an elongated through-slit (53) extending in the longitudinal direction of the frame section.
- 8. Regarding claim 2, Figure 5 of Tanaka shows the slit having a length of at least 50% of the total length of the frame section.
- 9. Regarding claim 3, Figure 5 of Tanaka shows the slit having a length of at least60% of the total length of the frame section.
- 10. Regarding claim 4, Figure 5 of Tanaka shows the slit having a length of at least70% of the total length of the frame section.
- 11. Regarding claim 5, Figure 5 of Tanaka shows the slit formed as a through-cut in the reinforcing flange, and that at least one of the edge portions (51a) surrounding the cut is bent on either side.

Application/Control Number: 10/525,576

Art Unit: 2879

Page 4

- 12. Regarding claim 6, Figure 5 of Tanaka shows the reinforcing flange comprising a rib which extends in the longitudinal direction of the frame section and positioned in the area between the slit and the an inner edge.
- 13. Regarding claim 7, Figure 5 of Tanaka shows more than one slit arranged in parallel with each other in the reinforcing flange.
- 14. Regarding claim 8, Figure 5 of Tanaka shows the slit being discontinuous along its length and interrupted by a bridging portion.
- 15. Regarding claim 9, Figure 10 of Tanaka shows a cathode ray tube comprising a color selection electrode provided with a frame including a frame section according to claim 1.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Reed (US 6,680,563) discloses a color picture tube having a low expansion tension mask attached to a higher expansion frame. Nagata (US 5,986,393) discloses a cathode ray tube inner magnetic shield.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Quarterman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2461. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH (7-5:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nimesh Patel can be reached on (571) 272-2457. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin Quarterman Examiner Art Unit 2879

kq /~ 25 June 2007 Mariceli Santias Primary Examiner Au 2875